QL.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,374	07/11/2001	Steve A. Herweck	ATA-297	8317
959	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET			MATHEW, FENN C	
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3764	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			740
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/903,374	HERWECK ET AL.	
, and a second	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fenn C Mathew	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approdunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below)	
(a) ☐ they raise flew issues that would require for the (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		see 140 1 L below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i		rially reducing or sim	plifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	,		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	3.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	$ oxedsymbol{oxed} $ will be entered and $ oxedsymbol{oxed} $ with $ oxedsymbol{oxed} $ with $ oxedsymbol{oxed} $	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7-9 and 12-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	

10. Other: <u>See continuation sheet</u>

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Amendment filed 05/25/2004 will be entered for purposes of appeal. Language from claim 6 was incorporated into independent claims 1 and 12. Examiner holds rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) that claims 1 and 12 as being unpatentable over Tu et al. (U.S. 5,061,276) in view of Lenz (6,036,724). Please see final rejection for specific reasonings. Additionally, Examiner feels that Tu shows node orientation in figures 4a, 4b, among other figures. In the figures it appears the nodes are at a non-zero angle with regards to the winding axis as seen in figure 8. Therefore, the modified Tu device meets the claimed limitations.

MM

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700